

SEP 13 2005

60,130-1829 (OOMRA373, 382)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS: Blume et al.
SERIAL NO.: 10/635130
FILED: August 6, 2003
ART UNIT: 3634
EXAMINER: Strimbu, Gregory J.
FOR: Door Panel Assembly

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

In response to the final action of July 13, 2005, applicant requests consideration of the following remarks.

Claims 21-42 remain in the application including independent claim 21. Claims 22, 23, and 30-40 have been withdrawn as being drawn to a non-elected species, pending allowance of a generic claim.

In applicant's prior response dated January 5, 2005, applicant submitted a substitute specification. No new matter was entered in the substitute specification. Applicant requests that the substitute specification now be entered.

Claim 42 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite with regard to the language "to hold." Specifically, the examiner argues that it is unclear how the housing or the power mechanism cannot be held and at the same time be fixed relative to the door panel during assembly. Applicant respectfully asserts that the meaning of claim 42 is clear. Claim 42 recites the step of "assembling one of the window regulator housing and power mechanism to the vehicle door panel without having to hold the other of the window regulator